Deformations of Dirac operators

Weiping Zhang

Chern Institute of Mathematics

Dedicated to Professor Vergne's 80th birthday

Paris - September 7, 2023

Abstract

▶ Dirac operators as well as their deformations have played important roles in many problems in geometry and topology. We will survey some of these applications in this talk.

Dirac operator: the origins

▶ 1846 Hamilton :

$$-\left(\frac{i\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x} + \frac{j\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}y} + \frac{k\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}y}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z}\right)^2$$

▶ 1928 Dirac

$$\left(\sum_{i=0}^{3} \gamma_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}\right)^{2} = -\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{0}}\right)^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}\right)^{2}$$

- ▶ Pauli matrices γ_i 's verify the so called Clifford relations
- ▶ 1960s Atiyah-Singer on spin manifolds

Dirac operators on spin manifolds

- ▶ (M^{2n}, g^{TM}) a closed Riemannian spin manifold, with Levi-Civita connection ∇^{TM} , $R^{TM} = (\nabla^{TM})^2$
- ▶ $S(TM) = S_{+}(TM) \oplus S_{-}(TM)$ Hermitian bundle of spinors, carry Hermitian connection $\nabla^{S(TM)}$
- ▶ (E, g^E) Hermtian vector bundle on M, with Hermitian connection ∇^E , with curvature $R^E = (\nabla^E)^2$
- ► Atiyah-Singer's Dirac operator :

$$D^{E} = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} c(e_{i}) \nabla_{e_{i}}^{S(TM) \otimes E} : \Gamma(S(TM) \otimes E) \longrightarrow \Gamma(S(TM) \otimes E),$$

$$D_{+}^{E} = D^{E}|_{\Gamma(S_{+}(TM) \otimes E)}, \quad \operatorname{ind}(D_{+}^{E}) = \ker(D_{+}^{E}) - \ker(D_{-}^{E})$$

► Atiyah-Singer index theorem (1963)

$$\operatorname{ind}\left(D_{+}^{E}\right)=\left\langle \widehat{A}(TM)\mathrm{ch}(E),[M]\right\rangle$$

Dirac operators on spin manifolds

► In Chern-Weil form:

$$\operatorname{ind}\left(D_{+}^{E}\right) = \int_{M} \det^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4\pi} R^{TM}}{\sinh\left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4\pi} R^{TM}\right)} \right) \operatorname{tr}\left[\exp\left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} R^{E}\right) \right]$$

- ▶ Spin condition essential : $\widehat{A}(\mathbf{C}P^2) = -\frac{1}{8}$
- ► Early application : Lichnerowicz formula :

$$D^2 = -\Delta + \frac{k^{TM}}{4},$$

where $-\Delta \geq 0$, and k^{TM} is the scalar curvature of g^{TM} .

▶ Lichnerowicz (1963) If $k^{TM} > 0$, then $\widehat{A}(M) = 0$.

Geometric operators as Dirac operators

- ▶ Locally, every manifold is spin
- Canonical geometric operators locally can be seen as Dirac operators
- Example 1 : de Rham-Hodge operator on a closed oriented Riemannian manifold M, acting on $\Omega^*(M) = \Gamma(\Lambda^*(T^*M))$

$$d + d^* : \Omega^*(M) \longrightarrow \Omega^*(M)$$

(locally, when $\dim M$ even, $\Lambda^*(T^*M) = S(TM) \widehat{\otimes} S^*(TM)$)

► Gauss-Bonnet-Chern theorem (1940s)

$$\chi(M) = \int_{M} \operatorname{Pf}\left(\frac{R^{TM}}{2\pi}\right)$$

Geometric operators as Dirac operators

ightharpoonup Example 2: Dolbeault operator for a holomorphic vector bundle L on a closed Kähler manifold M

$$\sqrt{2}\left(\overline{\partial}^L + \left(\overline{\partial}^L\right)^*\right): \Omega^{0,*}(M,L) \longrightarrow \Omega^{0,*}(M,L)$$

► Riemann-Roch-Hirzebruch theorem (1950s)

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^{i} \operatorname{dim} H^{0,i}(M,L) = \langle \operatorname{Td}(TM)\operatorname{ch}(L), [M] \rangle$$

► <u>Standard reference</u> : <u>Berline-Getzler-Vergne</u> : Heat Kernels and Dirac Operators

Deformations of Dirac operators

- ▶ In many applications, **deformations** of Dirac operators (geometric operators) play important roles, we will indicate some examples in this talk
- ▶ Basic principle behind : ind(F + K) = index(F)

Early example: Atiyah's proof of the Hopf vanishing theorem

- ▶ Hopf vanishing theorem : If V is a nowhere zero vector field on a closed manifold M, then $\chi(M) = 0$.
- ▶ Take a metric g^{TM} on TM.
- ▶ Let $d^*: \Omega^*(M) \to \Omega^*(M)$ be the formal adjoint of the exterior differential $d: \Omega^*(M) \to \Omega^*(M)$.
- ▶ Recall that by the Hodge theorem,

$$\chi(M) = \operatorname{ind} \left(d + d^* : \Omega^{\operatorname{even}}(M) \to \Omega^{\operatorname{odd}}(M) \right).$$

Clifford actions on $\Omega^*(M) = \Gamma(\Lambda^*(T^*M))$

- ▶ Given g^{TM} , two standard Clifford actions on $\Lambda^*(T^*M)$:
- ▶ For any $X \in TM$, let $X^* \in T^*M$ be dual to X via g^{TM} . Set

$$c(X) = X^* \wedge -i_X, \quad \widehat{c}(X) = X^* + i_X.$$

▶ For any $X, Y \in TM$, Clifford relations :

$$\begin{split} c(X)c(Y) + c(Y)c(X) &= -2\langle X,Y\rangle_{g^{TM}},\\ \widehat{c}(X)\widehat{c}(Y) + \widehat{c}(Y)\widehat{c}(X) &= 2\langle X,Y\rangle_{g^{TM}},\\ c(X)\widehat{c}(Y) + \widehat{c}(Y)c(X) &= 0. \end{split}$$

$$d + d^* = \sum_{i=1}^{\dim M} c(e_i) \nabla_{e_i}^{\Lambda^*(T^*M)},$$

where $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{\dim M}$ is a (local) orthonormal basis of (TM, g^{TM}) , $\nabla^{\Lambda^*(T^*M)}$ is induced from the Levi-Civita connection ∇^{TM} of (TM, g^{TM}) .

Ativah's proof of the Hopf vanishing theorem

- ▶ Recall that $V \in \Gamma(TM)$ with zero $(V) = \emptyset$.
- ▶ Take g^{TM} such that $|V|_{g^{TM}} = 1$, then $\widehat{c}(V)^2 = 1$
- ► Following Atiyah (1970), one has

$$\begin{split} \chi(M) &= \operatorname{ind} \left(\operatorname{d} + \operatorname{d}^* : \Omega^{\operatorname{even}}(M) \to \Omega^{\operatorname{odd}}(M) \right) \\ &= \operatorname{ind} \left(\widehat{c}(V) \left(\operatorname{d} + \operatorname{d}^* \right) \widehat{c}(V) : \Omega^{\operatorname{odd}}(M) \to \Omega^{\operatorname{even}}(M) \right). \end{split}$$

▶ Now by the Clifford relations,

$$\widehat{c}(V) (d + d^*) \widehat{c}(V) = - (d + d^*) + \widehat{c}(V) \sum_{i=1}^{\dim M} c(e_i) \widehat{c} (\nabla_{e_i}^{TM} V),$$

which implies

$$\operatorname{ind}\left(\widehat{c}(V)\left(\mathrm{d}+\mathrm{d}^*\right)\widehat{c}(V):\Omega^{\operatorname{odd}}(M)\to\Omega^{\operatorname{even}}(M)\right)=-\chi(M).$$

▶ Thus, $\chi(M) = -\chi(M)$ from which one gets $\chi(M) = 0$.

New era: Witten's analytic proof of Morse inequalities

- ▶ Witten (1982) : for any $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$ and $T \in \mathbf{R}$, $\mathrm{d}_{Tf} = e^{-Tf} \mathrm{d} e^{Tf}.$
- Let $d_{Tf}^* = e^{Tf} d^* e^{-Tf}$ be the formal adjoint of d_{Tf}
- ▶ By considering the deformed Laplacian

$$\Box_{Tf} = \left(\mathbf{d}_{Tf} + \mathbf{d}_{Tf}^*\right)^2 = \mathbf{d}_{Tf}\mathbf{d}_{Tf}^* + \mathbf{d}_{Tf}^*\mathbf{d}_{Tf},$$

Witten suggests an analytic proof of Morse inequalities.

▶ Witten's proof is very influential. On the non-linear side, it motivates Floer (1988) to introduce his homology. On the linear side, Bismut-Zhang (1992) make use of the Witten deformation to give a purely analytic proof of the Cheeger-Müller theorem (1978) concerning the Ray-Singer analytic torsion and the Reidemeister torsion (with far reaching generalizations to the fibration case due to Bismut-Goette (2001) and Puchol-Y. Zhang-Zhu (2021)).

Witten's analytic proof of the Hopf vanishing theorem

▶ One has for the previous Witten deformation that

$$d_{Tf} + d_{Tf}^* = d + d^* + T\widehat{c}(\nabla f).$$

▶ Replace ∇f by any $V \in \Gamma(TM)$, one considers

$$D_T = d + d^* + T\widehat{c}(V) = \sum_{i=1}^{\dim M} c(e_i) \nabla_{e_i}^{\Lambda^*(T^*M)} + T\widehat{c}(V),$$

from which one gets

$$D_T^2 = (d + d^*)^2 + T \sum_{i=1}^{\dim M} c(e_i) \widehat{c} \left(\nabla_{e_i}^{TM} V \right) + T^2 |V|^2.$$

▶ If zero(V) = \emptyset , then when T > 0 is large enough, $D_T^2 > 0$ is invertible, from which we get another proof of the Hopf vanishing theorem :

$$\chi(M) = \operatorname{ind}\left(D_T : \Omega^{\operatorname{even}}(M) \to \Omega^{\operatorname{odd}}(M)\right) = 0.$$

Witten's analytic proof of the Hopf index formula

Now we allow $V \in \Gamma(TM)$ to have non-degenerate isolated zeroes. For any $p \in \text{zero}(V)$, take a sufficiently small open neighborhood U_p of p, then when T >> 0,

$$D_T^2 = (\mathbf{d} + \mathbf{d}^*)^2 + T \sum_{i=1}^{\dim M} c(e_i) \widehat{c} \left(\nabla_{e_i}^{TM} V \right) + T^2 |V|^2 >> 0$$

on $M \setminus \bigcup_{p \in \text{zero}(V)} U_p$.

- ▶ Thus the study of ker (D_T) "localizes" to each U_n when T >> 0
- ▶ The harmonic oscillator comes into the picture!
- ► Harmonic oscillator on $\mathbf{R} : -\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}x^2} + x^2 1$

Witten's analytic proof of the Hopf index formula

- ▶ Near each $p \in \text{zero}(V)$, one considers the harmonic oscillator on $(U_p, \Omega^*(M)|_{U_p}) \simeq (\mathbf{R}^{\dim M}, \Omega^*(\mathbf{R}^{\dim M}))$ to get
- ▶ Poincaré-Hopf index formula :

$$\chi(M) = \sum_{p \in \text{zero}(V)} \text{ind}_V(p).$$

- ▶ If zero(V) is <u>non-degenerate</u> in the sense of Bott where the set of critical points consists of <u>submanifolds</u> instead of points, then one can get a <u>generalized Hopf formula</u>.
- ► Harmonic oscillator analysis along the <u>normal directions</u> to submanifolds

From Witten to Bismut-Lebeau

- ▶ Bismut-Lebeau (1991): far reaching generalizations to the problem on Quillen metrics for complex immersions
- ▶ Essential for Gillet-Soulé's arithmetic Riemann-Roch
- ► Wide range of applications : the systematic "analytic localization techniques" developed by Bismut-Lebeau

The Guillemin-Sternberg geometric quantization

▶ Let L be a holomorphic Hermitian line bundle over a Kähler manifold (M, ω) , admitting a Hermitian connection ∇^L such that

$$\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \left(\nabla^L \right)^2 = \omega$$

- ightharpoonup G compact Lie group, with Lie algebra g.
- Assume there is a holomorphic Hamiltonian action of G on (M, ω) : there is a moment map

$$\mu: M \longrightarrow \mathbf{g}^*$$

such that for any $X \in \mathbf{g}$,

$$i_{X_G}\omega = d\langle \mu, X \rangle$$
,

where X_G is the Killing vector field generated by X.

▶ We assume G also acts holomorphically on L and preserves q^L , ∇^L

The Guillemin-Sternberg geometric quantization

- ▶ Assume $0 \in \mathbf{g}^*$ is a regular value of $\mu : M \to \mathbf{g}^*$
- ► $M_G = \mu^{-1}(0)/G$ is an orbifold, called the symplectic reduction of the G-action. For simplicity we assume it's smooth
- ▶ We get a line bundle (L_G, ∇^{L^G}) over the induced Kähler manifold (M_G, ω_G) with $\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \left(\nabla^{L^G}\right)^2 = \omega_G$
- ► Guillemin-Sternberg (1982)

$$\dim H^{0,0}(M,L)^{G} = \dim H^{0,0}(M_G, L_G)$$

▶ "Quantization commutes with reduction"

The Guillemin-Sternberg geometric quantization

► Guillemin-Sternberg geometric quantization conjecture :

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^{i} \dim H^{0,i}(M,L)^{G} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^{i} \dim H^{0,i}(M_{G}, L_{G})$$

- ▶ Natural symplectic setting, proved by Meinrenken (1998)
- ▶ Youliang Tian Zhang (1998) :

$$D_{\mathbf{T}}^{L} = \sqrt{2} \left(e^{-\mathbf{T}|\boldsymbol{\mu}|^2} \overline{\partial}^L e^{\mathbf{T}|\boldsymbol{\mu}|^2} + e^{\mathbf{T}|\boldsymbol{\mu}|^2} \left(\overline{\partial}^L \right)^* e^{-\mathbf{T}|\boldsymbol{\mu}|^2} \right)$$

(holomorphic analogue of the Witten deformation)

▶ Braverman-Teleman-Zhang (1999) One has for any $i \ge 0$ and $p \ge 0$,

$$\dim H^{0,i}(M, L^p)^G = \dim H^{0,i}(M_G, L_G^p)$$

The Vergne conjecture

- ▶ (symplectic) Guillemin-Sternberg geometric quantization conjecture : first proved by Meinrenken (1998)
- ► Youliang Tian Zhang (1998) : analytic proof using deformed Dirac operators :

$$D_{\mathbf{T}}^{L} = D^{L} + \frac{\sqrt{-1}\mathbf{T}}{2}c\left(\mathrm{d}|\mu|^{2}\right)$$

- ▶ Vergne conjecture (ICM2006) : the case where M is noncompact
- ► Resolution : Ma-Zhang (Acta Math. 2014) by using more delicate deformations of Dirac operators

Abstract algebraic structure

- $\xi = \xi_+ \oplus \xi_-$ and \mathbf{Z}_2 -graded Hermitian vector bundle carrying a Hermitian connection $\nabla^{\xi} = \nabla^{\xi_+} + \nabla^{\xi_-}$, over an even dimensional spin manifold (M, g^{TM}) .
- ▶ V a self-adjoint odd endomorphism of ξ (i.e., exchanges ξ_{\pm})
- ▶ $D^{\xi}: \Gamma(S(TM)\widehat{\otimes}\xi) \to \Gamma(S(TM)\widehat{\otimes}\xi)$ is self-adjoint, with

$$D_{+}^{\xi}: \Gamma\left(S_{+}(TM)\widehat{\otimes}\xi_{+} \oplus S_{-}(TM)\widehat{\otimes}\xi_{-}\right)$$
$$\longrightarrow \Gamma\left(S_{-}(TM)\widehat{\otimes}\xi_{+} \oplus S_{+}(TM)\widehat{\otimes}\xi_{-}\right)$$

▶ For any $T \in \mathbf{R}$, one considers the deformation

$$D_T^\xi = D^\xi + TV$$

with

$$\left(D_T^\xi\right)^2 = \left(D^\xi\right)^2 + T\left[D^\xi,V\right] + T^2V^2$$

A relative index formula

Now assume (M, g^{TM}) is complete, and that there is a compact subset $K \subset M$ such that

$$|V|^2 \ge \delta > 0$$
 on $M \setminus K$

Moreover, we assume on $M \setminus K$ that

$$\left[\nabla^{\xi}, V\right] = 0$$

▶ Under the above assumptions, one has on $M \setminus K$ that

$$\left(D_T^\xi\right)^2 = \left(D^\xi\right)^2 + T^2V^2 \ge T^2\delta > 0$$

which implies that $\dim(\ker D_T^{\xi}) < +\infty$ for any T > 0.

▶ A relative index theorem (2021) For any T > 0,

$$\operatorname{ind}\left(D_{T,+}^{\xi}\right) = \left\langle \widehat{A}(TM)\left(\operatorname{ch}\left(\xi_{+}\right) - \operatorname{ch}\left(\xi_{-}\right)\right), [M] \right\rangle$$

The Gromov-Lawson relative index theorem.

- ▶ Originally, Gromov-Lawson assume that $k^{TM} \geq \tilde{\delta} > 0$ outside a compact subset $K \subset M$, and that ξ_{\pm} are trivial bundles on $M \setminus K$.
- ▶ By the Lichnerowicz formula, one has

$$\left(D_T^\xi\right)^2 = -\Delta^\xi + \frac{k^{TM}}{4} + T^2V^2 \ge \frac{\widetilde{\delta}}{4} + T^2\delta \quad \text{on} \quad M \setminus K$$

Thus dim(ker D_T^{ξ}) < +\infty for $T \in \mathbf{R}$. Take T = 0, one gets

► Gromov-Lawson relative index theorem (1983)

$$\operatorname{ind}\left(D_{+}^{\xi_{+}}\right) - \operatorname{ind}\left(D_{+}^{\xi_{-}}\right) = \left\langle \widehat{A}(TM)\left(\operatorname{ch}\left(\xi_{+}\right) - \operatorname{ch}\left(\xi_{-}\right)\right), [M] \right\rangle.$$

Area enlargeability of Gromov-Lawson

- ▶ (M, g^{TM}) a Riemannian manifold of dimension n
- ► Gromov-Lawson : (M, g^{TM}) area enlargeable if for any $\epsilon > 0$, there is a covering $\pi : \widehat{M}_{\epsilon} \to M$ (with lifted metric) and a smooth map $f : \widehat{M}_{\epsilon} \to S^n(1)$, which is constant near infinity (that is, constant outside a compact subset) and of nonzero degree, such that for any $\alpha \in \Omega^2(S^n(1))$, one has $|f^*\alpha| \leq \epsilon |\alpha|$
- ▶ If M is compact, then the area enlargeability does not depend on g^{TM}
- ▶ Typical examples : T^n . Also if M is closed area enlargeable and N is a closed manifold of the same dimension, then M # N is area enlargeable

Area enlargeability and positive scalar curvature

▶ Gromov-Lawson (1983). If (M, g^{TM}) is a complete spin area enlargeable manifold, then the scalar curvature k^{TM} of g^{TM} can not have a positive lower bound, i.e.,

$$\inf\left(k^{TM}\right) \le 0.$$

▶ **Proof.** Assume n is even. Consider the map $f: \widehat{M}_{\epsilon} \to S^n(1)$. Let E be a Hermitian vector bundle on $S^n(1)$ such that

$$\langle \operatorname{ch}(E), [S^n(1)] \rangle \neq 0$$

- ▶ Consider the Dirac operator D^{f^*E} on \widehat{M}_{ϵ} .
- ► By Lichnerowicz formula

$$\left(D^{f^*E}\right)^2 = -\Delta^{f^*E} + \frac{k^{T\widehat{M_\epsilon}}}{4} + c\left(R^{f^*E}\right)$$

Area enlargeability and positive scalar curvature

► By area enlargeability,

$$c\left(R^{f^*E}\right) = c\left(f^*\left(R^E\right)\right) = O(\epsilon)$$

- ▶ If $k^{TM} \ge \delta > 0$, then when $\epsilon > 0$ is small enough, one has $(D^{f^*E})^2 > 0$, which implies $\operatorname{ind}(D^{f^*E}) = 0$.
- ▶ By the Gromov-Lawson relative index theorem, one gets

$$0 = \operatorname{ind}\left(D_{+}^{f^{*}E}\right) - \operatorname{rk}(E)\operatorname{ind}\left(D_{+}\right)$$
$$= \left\langle \widehat{A}\left(T\widehat{M}_{\epsilon}\right)\left(\operatorname{ch}\left(f^{*}E\right) - \operatorname{rk}\left(\mathbf{C}^{\operatorname{rk}(E)}\right)\right), \left[\widehat{M}_{\epsilon}\right]\right\rangle$$
$$= \operatorname{deg}(f)\left\langle \operatorname{ch}(E), \left[S^{n}(1)\right]\right\rangle,$$

a contradiction with $deg(f) \neq 0$. Q.E.D.

Area enlargeability and positive scalar curvature

- ▶ Schoen-Yau, Gromov-Lawson (1980) There is no metric of positive scalar curvature on T^n . Moreover, there is no metric of positive scalar curvature on $T^n \# N$ for closed spin N.
- ▶ Lohkamp (1998): There is no metric of positive scalar curvature on $T^n \# N$ for closed N implies the positive mass theorem for N.
- ▶ Schoen-Yau (1979) first proved positive mass theorem for any closed N with dim $N \leq 7$ by using minimal hypersurface method. Witten (1981) first proved positive mass theorem for any closed spin N. Schoen-Yau (2017/2021) presented a proof of positive mass theorem for all closed N using minimal hypersurface methods.
- ▶ No Dirac operator proof for nonspin N, even for $T^4 \# \mathbb{C}P^2$?

The noncompact case

- ▶ Back to Gromov-Lawson's original result
- ▶ Gromov-Lawson (1983). If (M, g^{TM}) is a complete spin area enlargeable manifold, then the scalar curvature k^{TM} of g^{TM} can not have a positive lower bound, i.e.,

$$\inf\left(k^{TM}\right) \le 0.$$

▶ With our <u>new relative index theorem</u> for <u>deformed Dirac</u> operators, which does not require the uniform postivity of the scalar curvature near infinity, one may ask whether one can improve

$$\inf\left(k^{TM}\right) \le 0$$

to

$$\inf\left(k^{TM}\right) < 0?$$

▶ No general result available.

The noncompact case

- ▶ Xiangshen Wang Zhang (Chin. Ann. Math. 2022) If M is a closed spin area enlargeable manifold and N is a noncompact spin manifold, then there is no complete metric of positive scalar curvature on M#N.
- ▶ Corollary. For any noncompact spin N, there is no complete metric of positive scalar curvature on $T^n \# N$.
- ▶ When n = 3, the above Corollary is due to Lesourd-Unger-Yau (2020).
- ▶ When $3 \le n \le 7$, it was proved by Chodosh-Li (2020) without assuming that N is spin. It is closely related to the positive mass theorem in noncompact setting.
- ightharpoonup General (N nonspin) case still open.

A spin^c Lichnerowicz vanishing theorem

- ▶ M is a closed spin^c manifold : L a complex line bundle over M such that $c_1(L) = w_2(TM)$ in $H^2(M, \mathbf{Z}_2)$.
- ▶ Take a transversal section X of L (viewed as a rank 2 real vector bundle). Then $\Sigma = \text{zero}(X)$ is a codimension two closed submanifold.
- ▶ Let $\xi = \xi_+ \oplus \xi_-$ be a \mathbf{Z}_2 -graded Hermitian vector bundle over M, with \mathbf{Z}_2 -graded Hermitian connection $\nabla^{\xi} = \nabla^{\xi_+} + \nabla^{\xi_-}$. Let $R^{\xi} = (\nabla^{\xi})$.

A spin^c Lichnerowicz vanishing theorem

- ▶ Assume there is an odd endomorphism $V \in \text{End}(\xi)$ (that is, V exchanges ξ_{\pm}) such that V is invertible on Σ .
- ▶ Let g^{TM} be a metric on TM, we assume its scalar curvature k^{TM} verifies on M that

$$\frac{k^{TM}}{4} > \left| R^{\xi} \right|.$$

▶ Theorem (Zhang, 2023) Under the above assumptions,

$$\left\langle \widehat{A}(TM)e^{\frac{c_1(L)}{2}}\operatorname{ch}(\xi), [M] \right\rangle = 0,$$

where $\operatorname{ch}(\xi) = \operatorname{ch}(\xi_+) - \operatorname{ch}(\xi_-)$ is the **Z**₂-graded Chern character.

A spin^c Lichnerowicz vanishing theorem

- ▶ When M is spin, one can take $L = \xi$ to be the trivial line bundle. Then it reduces to the original Lichnerowicz vanishing theorem.
- ▶ Corollary. If M is a closed spin area enlargeable manifold and N is a closed spin^c manifold, then there is no metric of positive scalar curvature on M#N.
- ▶ Corollary (Schoen-Yau (2017/2021)) For any closed spin^c manifold N, there is no metric of positive scalar curvature on $T^n \# N$.
- ▶ In particular, one gets an index theoretic proof of the fact that $\mathbb{C}P^2 \# T^4$ does not carry a metric of positive scalar curvature.

A spin^c Lichnerowicz theorem (outline of proof)

 \triangleright One considers the spin^c Dirac operator

$$D^\xi:\Gamma(S(TM,L)\widehat{\otimes}\xi)\longrightarrow\Gamma(S(TM,L)\widehat{\otimes}\xi)$$
 where, locally, $S(TM,L)=S(TM)\otimes L^{\frac{1}{2}}$

▶ By the Lichnerowicz formula,

$$\left(D^{\xi}\right)^{2} = -\Delta^{\xi} + \frac{k^{TM}}{4} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} c(e_{i})c(e_{j})R^{L}(e_{i}, e_{j})$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} c(e_{i})c(e_{j})R^{\xi}(e_{i}, e_{j})$$

▶ By assumption, one has

$$\frac{k^{TM}}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} c(e_i)c(e_j)R^{\xi}(e_i,e_j) > 0$$

A spin^c Lichnerowicz theorem (outline of proof)

- ▶ The term $\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} c(e_i)c(e_j)R^L(e_i,e_j)$ causes trouble ...
- ▶ $\Sigma = \text{zero}(X)$ is the obstruction to spin : $M \setminus \Sigma$ is spin.
- $L_{M\setminus\Sigma}$ is trivial
- ▶ One localizes the problem near Σ ...
- Deformations of Dirac operators still play an essential role
 ...

Happy Birthday Professor Vergne!